



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/521,455 | 01/14/2005 | Helmut Goldmann | 26569U | 8794 |
| 20529 7590 09/22/2009 THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| SCHILLINGER, ANN M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3774 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 09/22/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/521,455

Applicant(s)

GOLDMANN, HELMUT

Examiner

ANN SCHILLINGER

Art Unit

3774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tanya Harkins.

(3) _____.

(2) Ann Schillinger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Trogolo et al. (US Pat. No. 6,296,863) and Bates et al. (US Pat. No. 6,530,951).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The newly submitted claims were discussed in light of the prior art references, Trogolo et al. and Bates et al. The meaning of the claim language "a substantially closed silver layer," "leaving the pores open," and "further coating and sealing the pores" was also discussed. A supplemental response may potentially be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774